



The dynamics of party system in India: A comparative study of national and regional parties

Pinninti Nagaraju

Department of Political science, Kakatiya University, Warangal, Telangana, India

Abstract

The purpose of the study is the structure of political parties and comparative analysis of the party system in the Republic of India. The objective of the research is to investigate the functioning of the parties, power structure, dynamics and their role in forming the government at the centre and state levels in the country. In addition, the study analyses the approaches of the parties as an actor to make a coalition government in various provincial government. The research used comparative method analysis to achieve the purpose of this study and describe the source methods for testing the research objectives. The study finds the comprehensive relevance of parties' role in the Indian political system. Finally, the result of the article finds as a conclusion that the multi-party system provides multiple opportunities for people to participate in the democratic process in India.

Keywords: party system, national and regional parties, democracy, India government

Introduction

The political process is a key element of the exercise of democratisation in the country. The role of political parties in democratic Process is increasingly important And political parties are indispensable to any democratic system and play the most crucial role in the electoral process by establishing candidates and conducting election campaigns. Political parties and the party system in India have been greatly influenced by cultural diversity, social, ethnic, caste, community and religious pluralism, traditions of the nationalist movement, contrasting styles of party leadership and ideological perspectives faced. The two main categories of political parties in India are National and State, and the Electoral Commission of India recognizes them as such based on certain specific criteria Regional parties are stronger in many states compared to national parties. The qualitative research of national and regional parties and their role to form national government and sub-government in different states in the country. Furthermore, there are thirty Government in Indian states. Despite the domination of national parties in India to the formation of state government the regional parties alone make states government in many North and South Indian states. The number of parties that form the government, whether single or multi-party, can influence the party system by shaping the advantages associated with belonging to small and large parties. According to Indian constitutional provision and legally position of political parties vary from country to country. In most democratic countries, however, there is no direct constitutional provision regulating the operation of political parties, nor any legal sanction establishing political parties as a necessary governmental institution, although there are some governmental systems that attempt to prescribe certain conditions for the functioning of a party system. Political parties are not mentioned directly in the Constitution of India. However, a provision of the Constitution directly concerns the functioning of political parties, the tenth schedule. The Tenth Schedule to the Constitution was added by the Constitution (52nd Amendment) Act 1985. It deals with the disqualification of members on account of the Anti-Defection Act from being a member of either House of Parliament (Art.102 (2)) or the Legislative Council, Legislative Council of the State (Art. 191 (2)). Political parties have played a crucial role in social and political transformation, but party dominance has also undergone enormous changes. A multitude of new parties emerged and many of them became ruling parties at the national, state or both levels. In many states, national parties have been marginalized or have become auxiliaries to their state rivals. This flux of party dominance and the proliferation of parties give rise to coalition governments, which have become a regular feature of Indian politics since the 1990s. A large number of parties have shared power in these coalitions over the years, years. For example, the BJP- Ind National Democratic Alliance government, led by Prime Minister Atal Bihari Vajpayee, ruled the centre from 1999 to 2004 with around 30 different partners. The Two governments formed by the Congress led United Progressive Alliance (UPA) also enjoyed the support of more than ten alliance partners in 2004 and 2009 respectively. The regional and small parties determined the fate of national parties in general elections over the past two decades. In general elections, 2014 and 2019 BJP had got an absolute majority in Loksabha (Lower House) but it was given the space for poll coalition regional parties in government. For example, Shinmani Akali Dal (SAD), Lok Janshakti Party (JP), Republican Party of India (RPD), Shivsena, Jana Dal United (DU), Rashtriya Lok Samta Party (RLSP) and newly formed Rashtriya Lok Janshakti Party. In the Indian political system, the role of the regional

party is very relevant since 1989 to till date. These parties are sharing power in the central government and got cabinet ministry in Narendra Modi's government

Since coalition governments have become a common feature of Indian politics since the late 1980s, India offers a plethora of illustrative cases to understand the role of coalition politics and specifically the influence of regional parties of these coalitions on foreign policy processes and decisions.

India's diverse coalition governance experiences can thus help us assess some of the existing scientific explanations as well as draw new theoretical and empirical conclusions. Finally, the article concludes with a discussion of the results and future directions of this study. Furthermore, the study relates the national and regional party's coordination to share the powers. It suggests a new argument that the combination of regional party preferences and multilevel coalition agreements lead regional parties to influence national and international decisions. The analysis goes several steps forward by analyzing when and how these regional parties became involved in negotiating with the main national parties. Although, the article aims to theorise about the participation of regional parties by hypothesizing the main possible outcomes that reflect different combinations of regional party preferences and coalition configuration across the country. Therefore, article aims to offer a theory that can be applied beyond the Indian context, that is, in other democracies with federal systems and coalition governments.

This article develops a categorisation that distinguishes the small parties, active in national and state elections, from the larger regional parties and the hundreds of smaller parties registered with the Electoral Commission. The broader importance of small parties is assessed in relation to party system change and everyday political behaviour. To what extent do national parties compete with regional parties in the electoral process at the state level? The emergence of regional parties is created a bigger challenge to national parties in various states across the country.

Literature review

India is the largest democracy and the mass population have been participating in the general election and provincials' elections. The political parties are one of the most important aspects in the study of the formation of government and political process, as Arian and Barnes (1974, p.592) ^[1] point out the party is an important link between the political elites and the masses and an important instrument of government in most electoral political systems in India. Thus, political parties are a way of bringing together a representation of interests and voices. Its goal is to win votes and support for governance for the welfare of the state. The understanding is that there are different types of political party systems. depending to a certain extent on internal conditions: one, two, and multi-party systems. The three types are generally divided based on the number of key parts and their functions in the system. The Indian political system is important to the country's political parties.

There are many studies There are many studies that have outlined the theories of a dominant party and its key characteristics. This provision has established that a dominant party system revolves around the dominance of one party in a given political system. The dominant party has three main elements: successive victories in elections, effective internal management, and the ability to set the agenda. To begin with, lasting electoral victories, the first prerequisite of a dominant party is seen in its ability to win elections and form a government within a competitive political system. According to Indian Constitutions, which party or coalition has a full majority in the lower house of parliament can claim to form the government.

Proposed the dominant national parties dominated the electoral process in India during the electoral period. The national parties have been in power in the country since 1952. The legitimacy of the national parties in the country remains relevant and the regional parties have less importance when the national party obtains a clear majority in the general elections. In the last two general elections, the Bhartiya Janata Party (BJP) as the leading national party, has obtained an adequate majority in the general elections respectively in 2014 and 2019. That is why the regional parties do not have a strong position in the central government of India since 2014. The Indian National Congress (INC) is the second largest party in India as of 2014. Before 2014, INC was in the central government with the support of regional parties from different provinces (Reddy, 2005). Using indicators such as the number and size of the relevant parties across the country, the ideological difference of the political organisation, and the intensity of the given ideological framework, Sartori classifies party systems into seven types: one - party system, hegemonic party system, predominant party system, two-party system, moderate system pluralism, polarised pluralism, and atomised party system. Sartori's study focuses on the explanation of the typology of party systems and a detailed analysis of the characteristics of each party system.

There are lots of literature available to test this study through the literature on party system formation rests on the theoretical foundations established by Downs (1957) and Lipset and Rokkan (1967). Although their approaches to understanding politics are very different, both works assume that political interests and voter beliefs shape party systems. This common-sense notion continues to inform research on party systems decades later. The emergence of regional parties in India since the failure of national parties and ignorance of the proper development of concerned states. Today many sub governments in states are formed by the regional parties. Even some regional parties rule the state as a coalition government with the support of national parties (Stoll, 2004). As long as it is true that voter preferences represent an integral part of party system formation, the existing literature provides valuable information on the formation and origins of party systems. However, as soon as this assumption is broken, political science is left with very few theoretical tools to understand what factors shape party systems and party formation.

Downs (1957) is perhaps the most important research in the study of parties and elections. His spatial model of voting underlies decades of research on electoral politics. Downs model organizes voters in a political space according to their political preferences or strengths. Voters then vote for the party whose political positions are closest to theirs in the political space. For Downs, a given preference distribution can only support a certain number of matches. The ideological content of parties in the party system also depends on the distribution of voters as well as politics, since parties are located in places where they can attract voters. Unsurprisingly, Downs concludes that the fundamental determinant of the course of a nation's political life is the distribution of voters along the political ladder.

The research explains how formal institutions influence the expression of preferences India is an extremely diverse country, from north to south and from east to west. It is difficult to understand that people manipulate their votes in favour of a particular party. Although voters from different societies may have similar preferences, different institutional frameworks may lead them to express their preferences in different ways. In India, there are six national parties and hundreds of regional parties across the country. Voters took different approaches in each election. Electoral rules have traditionally been at the centre of this literature Research along these Times shows how voters vote strategically, taking into account how electoral systems convert votes into seats.

The argument in this paper shares Chhibber and Kollman's general theoretical view of decentralisation, but it differs from their argument in several respects. It differs in its emphasis on regional parties rather than the distribution of all votes across countries It also differs in terms of its emphasis on political rather than fiscal decentralisation, as well as its emphasis on the institutional structure of decentralisation rather than the distribution of power between levels of government. According to Chhibbar and Kollman, the argument of this research is the assertion that fiscal and political decentralisation is a distinct phenomenon. The regional parties are being gain hargaining power with the big allied national parties leading the country Many scholars cited that small parties affected the decision- making process of the government. The national and international policies don't get shape without the support of regional and small parties wherever it shares power in states government either the eternal support or external support. There has been discussion that present-day Indian electoral politics is generally a state specific affair and that the politics of the various states vary enough from another way in the country. Many national parties that govern Indian states are being replaced by regional parties since 1990.

The elections results in Bihar, Odisha, Punjab, Jammu & Kashmir, North Eastern States, Haryana, Telangana, Andhra Pradesh, Maharashtra, Tamilnadu, and Uttar Pradesh indicate that the type of competition at the state level has an effect on voting decisions at the local and district level. The state-based parties had emerged at a large scale and govern the power in many states. And national parties are opponent parties in states assembly.

Methodological and theoretical approaches

The comparative method is used to articulate party politics and their profound role in spreading democracy on the ground. The party system is a soul element that relates to the democratic rights of people in any democratic country in the world. Today's political system depends on elections because a large number of political leaders participated in elections. A country like India where the mass population exercises the right to vote in elections and elects the leader of their constituency. The elected leader is responsible for understanding the people who voted when they won the electoral process. However, the comparative analysis of political party leaders determines whether the elected leader is better than the previous leader or unable to reach the people. There is a high level of competition between national and regional parties in India. Comparative methods analysing the comparison of party systems in India.

Laakso and Taagepara (1979) describe competition within the party system to measure the variations between parties Although, the lots of seats won by the regional parties across the country create competition for the national parties in the polls. Because of geographical and regional differences from the national party's ideology that regional parties are major counterparts of national parties. Therefore, the number of dependent variables rely on the regional parties' ideologies in many Indian states ie., Tamil Nadu, Kerala, Andhra Pradesh, north-eastern states, Odisha, and other regions as well. The regional parties form the state government and their policies work according to local aspects. The regional parties which are more effective get the number of votes in elections. Rather than Regional parties. Therefore, the regional parties have been established in Indian politics by the failure of national parties' agenda at the local level of development and lack of interest. Because local identity and development agenda has been created by the regional parties for people's development strongly rather than national parties (Laakso, Taagepara, 1979).

In the multi-party system, parties have a chance to win the elections because they need to get a lower percentage to achieve majority seats in the assemblies. Various poll results are showing the party gets around twenty-five per cent of vote share and reached the majority number to govern the government. Although the pre-poll alliance of parties also got a huge success in the election, it is a soft strategy to win the elections in India. This is happening nowadays in India to win the election through the pre-poll coalition politics to beat any other alliance. Since 1989, the election strategy has been changed into a soft policy to win the election at any cost. There are two major alliances at the national level in India 1 National Democratic Alliance (NDA) and 2) United Progressive Alliance (UPA), from the last two Lok Sabha elections in 2014 and 2019 respectively, BIP led NDA had got majority seats and formed a coalition government with other regional parties. To use the data to study this research the dynamics of the national and regional level of parties from government websites, election

commission regular reports, research institutes essays, think tank editorials and media clips as primary data and as secondary data assess the various books as literature and research articles

In the light of the party's system and variations of its function, the study assesses the national and local level issues in India. The national parties have ruled the country since 1952 when the first parliamentary elections were held in the country. India has been ruled by mostly either national parties or alliance groups. They are stronger alliance groups. People from remote areas didn't rely on the national party or national party led coalition. Because the national party is far away from the proper development of remote areas of the country. The local issues have been raised by the regional parties, that is why regional parties are bigger competitors of national parties in regional areas of the country. The regional parties led government has claimed that national parties led central government doesn't support the start of development projects in the regional areas of the country where regional parties have been ruling the states. Financial support is necessary to start bigger projects., medical colleges and hospitals, bridges, national highways, and new railway tracks in remote areas of the countryside in the comparative study of states level elections in southern states i. e. Tamilnadu, Telangana, Andhra Pradesh the regional parties always emerged as winners. Even at regional level elections or state assembly elections, there is the main fight in elections between the regional parties. The national parties got 3rd or 4th positions in southern or northern states as well. Since 2014 the BJP has been winning the regional elections across the countryside. The BJP has formed the governments alone or alliance partners in northeastern states and other parts of the country. The INC is getting a major loss in many states and regional elections. Moreover, many state - level INC led governments are being replaced by the BJP led coalition governments (Wyatt, 2009). The article critically and comparatively highlighted the emergence of regional parties and the continued loss of ground by the Indian National Congress and their allied parties across the country. It has been occurring the emergence of the right wing party in India since the 1914 parliamentary election in the country. The Emergence of BJP as an ultra- right-wing party at the national and local level. The INC and their similar ideological parties have been losing the poll. The people's faith in the opposing parties decreased from the last decade in all aspects of the country. The right wing party's popularity continuously has been increasing in India. That is why regional parties' vote share is decreasing in recent assembly elections in some regions, but in many areas of the country regional parties are still dominated.

Conclusion

There are in many states level regional parties formed the state governments. Because of regionalist and linguistic character in the sense, these regional parties fully understand the regional aspirations of the people. That is why the national parties' stances are weaker than regional parties' basically in state assembly elections. Moreover, regional parties talk about local identities and speak out regional aspirations

References

1. Arian A, Barnes SH. The dominant party system: A neglected model of democratic stability, "The Journal of Politics", 1974, 36(3).
2. Beasley R, Kaarbo J. Explaining extremity in the foreign policies of parliamentary democra- cies, "International Studies Quarterly", 2014, 58(4).
3. Blarel N, Van Willigen N. How do regional parties influence foreign policy? Insights from mul- tilevel coalitional bargaining in India, "European Journal of International Relations", 2021, 27(2).
4. Brancati D. The origins and strengths of regional parties, "British Journal of political science", 2008, 38(1).
5. Chhibber P. Duvergerian Dynamics in the Indian States: Federalism and the Number of Parties in the State Assembly Elections, "Party Politics", 2006, 12(1).
6. Chhibber P, Nooruddin I. Do Party Systems Count? The Number of Parties and Government Performance in the Indian States, "Comparative Political Studies", 2004, 37(2).
7. Coticchia F, Davidson JW. The limits of radical parties in coalition foreign policy: Italy, hi- jacking, and the extremity hypothesis, "Foreign Policy Analysis", 2018, 14(2).